

On the kinks and dynamical phase transitions of α -helix protein chains

H. Rosu¹

Instituto de Física, Universidad de Guanajuato,
Apdo Postal E-143, 37150 León, Gto, México

Abstract

Heuristic insights into a physical picture of Davydov's solitonic model of the one-dimensional protein chain are presented supporting the idea of a non-equilibrium competition between the Davydov phase and a complementary, dynamical- 'ferroelectric' phase along the chain.

PACS 87.10.+e General, theoretical and mathematical biophysics

PACS 87.22.-q Physics of bioenergetic processes

A well-known application of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) in biology is Davydov's model of energy transport by means of solitons along α -helix protein chains [1]. Over the years, the model was turned almost into a paradigm of bioenergetics [2], although the experimental results are debatable [3].

The α -helix is the most common secondary structure of proteins entailing three spines in the longitudinal z-direction that we consider infinite in extent and having the peptide sequence $(- - - H - N - C = O)_n$, $n = \infty$, where the dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds. There are side radicals and their order is characteristic to each protein. The 3 spines are weaved together in a sort of incommensurate quasi-one dimensional structure, but here we shall consider only the simple one-spine chain, containing the peptide groups as molecular units.

In the Davydov model the main assumption is that about half of the chemical energy ($\epsilon = 0.422$ eV) released in the hydrolysis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) turns into the vibrational energy ($\epsilon_0 = 0.205$ eV) of the self-trapped amide-I (C=O stretching) mode of the peptide unit. The amplitude of the amide mode is self-trapped in the form of a sech- envelope soliton whenever there is a balance between the dipolar nearest-neighbour interaction and an admittedly quite strong nonlinear amide-phonon interaction. The energy transfer in the standard Davydov model is through the nonradiative resonant dipole- dipole interaction and a rather ambiguous vibrational-acoustic coherent state, the so-called D_1 *ansatz*. It is a mixture of quantum and classical Hamiltonian methods that has been deeply scrutinized in the literature [4]. Davydov Hamiltonian can be written in the form

$$H_D = H_{C=O} + H_{ph} + H_{int} \quad (1)$$

$$H_{C=O} = \sum_n \epsilon_0 B_n^\dagger B_n - J(B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} + B_{n+1}^\dagger B_n) \quad (2)$$

$$H_{ph} = \sum_q \hbar \Omega_q (b_q^\dagger b_q + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (3)$$

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{q,n} \chi(q) e^{iqnR} B_n^\dagger B_n (b_q + b_{-q}^\dagger) \quad (4)$$

¹e-mail: rosu@ifug.ugto.mx

The capital and small operators are vibrational and phonon ones, respectively. Brown [5] has shown in a clear way that Davydov Hamiltonian is a particular case of the general Fröhlich Hamiltonian of polaronic systems [6]

$$H_{FP} = \sum_{mn} J_{mn} a_m^\dagger a_n + \sum_q \hbar \omega_q b_q^\dagger b_q + \sum_{qn} \hbar \omega_q (\chi_n^q b_q^\dagger + \chi_n^{q*} b_q) a_n^\dagger a_n \quad (5)$$

with D_1 states satisfying the Schrödinger equation of the Fröhlich Hamiltonian in the limit $J_{mn} = 0$ and another D_2 *ansatz* valid for Schrödinger evolution in the limit $\chi_r^q = 0$.

The NLS subsonic soliton solutions of the energy transport coming out from the Davydov model are

$$\alpha(\xi) = \sqrt{\mu/2} e^{[\frac{i}{\hbar}(\frac{\hbar^2 v_s x}{2JR^2} - E_s t)]} \cosh^{-1}(\frac{\mu}{R}\xi) \quad (6)$$

$$\rho(\xi) = \frac{\beta_0}{2} \text{sech}^{-2}(\frac{\mu}{R}\xi) \quad (7)$$

$$\beta(\xi) = \frac{\beta_0}{2} (1 - \tanh(Q\xi)) \quad (8)$$

where $\xi = x - v_s t$ is the moving frame coordinate, J is the hopping (dipole-dipole) constant, χ is the nonlinear dipole-phonon coupling parameter, $\gamma_s = 1/\sqrt{1-s^2}$ ($s = v_s/v_a$) is the soliton ‘relativistic’ factor, w is the elasticity constant of the chain, $\mu = \chi^2 \gamma_s^2 / Jw$, $\beta_0 = \frac{2\chi\gamma_s^2}{w}$, $Q = MR\chi^2 \gamma_s^2 / 2w\hbar^2$, $E_s = \epsilon_0 - 2J + \hbar^2 v_s^2 / 4JR^2 - J\mu^2/3$, M is the peptide molecular mass, and R is the hydrogen-bond length. The first soliton is the vibrational one in which one may contemplate the soliton energy E_s self-trapped by the carrier wave. The second solution is related to the local deformation produced by the vibrational soliton in the lattice. The β -kink is a domain-wall configuration of the displacements of the peptide groups into the new equilibrium positions. It has an enhanced stability of topological origin since all the peptide groups from the right side of the kink ($\xi > 0$) are in nondisplaced positions whereas all the peptide groups at the left ($\xi < 0$) are displaced by the same amount $\beta_0 = \frac{2\chi\gamma_s^2}{w}$. In order to destroy the domain wall configuration, one should first turn the left peptide groups to their initial position. Now let us state the essential point in our phenomenology which is to think of *the slowly moving Davydov β -kink as an interphase boundary for the non-equilibrium transition from the Davydov dynamical regime of the polypeptide chain to a dynamic- ‘ferroelectric’ phase of the chain*. This is not the only possible interpretation. Indeed, in the same ‘non-relativistic’ limit, the β -kink, *per se*, is the solution of a classical anharmonic oscillator equation with a frictional term, but only for a particular value of the dissipation coefficient [7]. However, here we shall pursue the first interpretation. In the literature on ferroelectricity it is common to consider the interfacial boundary as the kink solution of a time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (GL) equation [8]. Our interpretation is based on the fact that for low subsonic regime ($s^2 \ll 1$) the Davydov β -kink is just the complement of the (quasi)-static double-well GL kink (i.e., $K_D \propto (1 - K_{GL})$). Since we are in a non-equilibrium situation the more precise terminology for these kinks is dynamic interphase boundaries or interfacial patterns. One would like to study their morphology during the growth. This is a difficult task since we are in a more complicated case as compared to the simple solid on solid model, or the kinetic Ising model, where the width of the mean field interface is given in terms of the nearest neighbor exchange interaction [9], corresponding to the J parameter in the Davydov model. The width $W = 1/2Q$ of the β -kink is determined by a number of

parameters, among which the J of nearest neighbors and the χ parameter of the nonlinear interaction are controlling the interfacial morphology. It is also known that in the mean field theory the intrinsic width is identical to the correlation length in the direction perpendicular to the interface.

Perhaps, one should also notice the formal analogy between the form of the Davydov kink and the Glauber transition rate in one-dimensional spin chains. The message of this analogy is that the kink is just a step structural function which is required by a Hamiltonian evolution and by a detailed balance condition in the spatial coordinate.

The implicit occurrence of the double-well GL kink in the Davydov β displacements offers the opportunity to introduce the multifractality issue and closely related intermittent features, which presumably are concepts of much interest for the dynamical phases of biological chains. Previously, Brax [10] showed the equivalence of the GL equation with real coefficients under random initial conditions and the linear heat equation with Gaussian random potential and provided a multifractal analysis of the problem of direct relevance for our considerations. As it is well-known the GL equation, which is a cubic reaction-diffusion equation, describes phenomenologically the evolution of the order parameter in superconductive phase transitions, and models also spatial and time fluctuations of systems near Hopf (oscillatory) bifurcations. Moreover, in 1972, Scalapino, Sears and Ferrell [11] studied in detail the statistical mechanics of one-dimensional GL fields. They remarked that such fields can describe the dynamical behaviour of nearly-ordered systems which are not undergoing sharp phase transitions, and conjectured that the real-field case may have application in some organic chain systems. Our arguments support that idea. In the D’Alambert variable ($\xi = x - v_s t$), the GL kink K_{GL} is the solution of a GL equation of the type

$$\frac{\partial K_{GL}}{\partial t} \left(\equiv -v_s \frac{dK_{GL}}{d\xi} \right) = \frac{d^2 K_{GL}}{d\xi^2} + pK_{GL} - rK_{GL}^3 \quad (9)$$

with real p and r coefficients. In the static case such GL equations are typical for the structural phase-transitions [8] in equilibrium situations, but they can be used also in nonequilibrium, slowly-driven systems. Following Brax, see also [12], one can develop a multifractal (MF) formalism, and also study the weak intermittent properties of the GL equation (and also of the Davydov’s model), if the kink probabilistic distribution function is identified with the τ - function of the MF formalism

$$\mathcal{G}(\xi_2) - \mathcal{G}(\xi_1) \approx \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \beta(\xi') d\xi' \equiv -\tau(\xi; q) \quad (10)$$

The q -parameter is well-known in the MF approach, being a convenient way of describing the mathematical properties of the sets of local growth probabilities of a physical quantity. We recall that in the MF formalism the function $\tau(q)$ when it exists characterizes the power-law scaling of the cumulant generating function [13]. The derivative of this function with respect to q is denoted by α and via the Lagrange multipliers procedure of statistical thermodynamics, one obtains the function $f(\alpha)$ which is the density of a measure, and can be interpreted as a fractal dimension when it is positive, and related to instabilities for negative values. The equations $\alpha = \delta\tau/\delta q$ and $f(\alpha) = q\alpha - \tau$ represent the basis of the MF formalism, see e.g., [14]. Formally, q is the inverse temperature, τ is the Gibbs free energy, and f is the entropy. One can plot the second derivative of the τ -function (the ‘specific heat’) and find out intervals of the ‘temperature’ variable, within which the plot clearly displays features of a phase transition, that is a peak in the ‘specific heat’ at a certain value of the ‘temperature’

variable [12]. At the same time, in our interpretation, q is the exponent of the local mean polarization of electric dipoles P_i^q over sets of self-similar dipolar clusters, which in fact is another common assumption of the MF formalism. We hope to study in detail these heuristic claims in future publications.

In conclusion, in our opinion, the old conjecture of Scalapino, Sears and Ferrell [11] concerning incipient GL-type phase transitions in one-dimensional organic chains might be at a quite good place within the dynamical Davydov's model of protein chains. Moreover, one can attribute self-organized features to the non-equilibrium competition between the two dynamical phases as Canessa and myself have shown in a previous paper [15]. Multifractal and intermittent scaling in the present biological context is of great interest.

Regarding our conjecture of relating Davydov's model to dynamic-structural transitions, it is to be also mentioned the debate on the connection between the equations of motion for Davydov's solitons and the ϕ^4 -chain [16].

Finally, we recall that metastable, biological- 'ferroelectric' states have been first considered by Fröhlich [17].

Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by the CONACyT Project 4868-E9406. The author is grateful to Dr. E. Canessa for useful discussions .

References

- [1] A. S. Davydov, *Solitons in Molecular Systems*, Reidel (1985)
- [2] A. C. Scott, *Phys. Rep.* **217**, 1 (1992); P. L. Christiansen and A. C. Scott, Eds., *Davydov's Soliton Revisited*, Plenum , New York, 1990.
- [3] W. Fann et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 607 (1990); S. W. Johnson et al., *ibid.* **74**, 2844 (1995); R. H. Austin, *ibid.* **74**, 2845 (1995)
- [4] D. W. Brown, K. Lindenberg, and B. J. West, *Phys. Rev. A* **33**, 4104 and 4110 (1986)
- [5] D. W. Brown, *Phys. Rev. A* **37**, 5010 (1988)
- [6] H. Fröhlich, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **223**, 296 (1954); *Adv. Phys.* **3**, 325 (1954)
- [7] J. M. Dixon, J. A. Tuszyński and M. Otwinowski, *Phys. Rev. A* **44**, 3484 (1991); J. M. Cerveró and P. G. Estévez, *Phys. Lett. A* **114**, 435 (1986); P. Lal, *ibid.*, **99**, 389 (1985)
- [8] A. Gordon, *Phys. Lett. A* **99**, 329 (1983)
- [9] D. Chowdhury, *J. Stat. Phys.* **50**, 1111 (1988)
- [10] P. Brax, *Phys. Lett. A* **165**, 335 (1992)
- [11] D. J. Scalapino, M. Sears, and R. A. Ferrell, *Phys. Rev. B* **6**, 3409 (1972)
- [12] E. Canessa, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, R5 (1993) and preprint IC/92/334; M. Johnson and L. V. Meisel, technical report ARCCB-TR-92037 (1992)
- [13] T. C. Halsey, M. H. Jensen, L. P. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia, and B. Shraiman, *Phys. Rev. A* **33**, 1141 (1986)

- [14] B. B. Mandelbrot, in *The Fractal Approach to Heterogeneous Chemistry: Surfaces, Colloids and Polymers*, Ed. D. Avnir, (Wiley, 1989)
- [15] H. Rosu and E. Canessa, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, R3818 (1993)
- [16] Pang Xiao-feng, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 4747 (1994); Jia-xin Xiao and Jia-tih Lin, *ibid.*, 4753 (1994); Jia-xin Xiao and Lin Yang, *ibid.*, A **44**, 8375 (1991); Of relevance may be, Xidi Wang, D. K. Campbell and J. E. Gubernatis, *ibid.* B **49**, 15485 (1994)
- [17] H. Fröhlich, *Collective Phenomena* **1**, 101 (1973)